

Observational Astronomy

Problems Set 3: Solutions.

1. Which has a greater energy flux, 10 photons $\text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ at 10 Å or 10^5 photons $\text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ at 5000 Å?

Answer:

$$F_\lambda = N \times h\nu = N \times hc / \lambda : F_{10\text{\AA}} = 1.99 \times 10^{-8} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \quad F_{5000\text{\AA}} = 3.97 \times 10^{-7} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$$

Answer: 10^5 photons $\text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ at 5000 Å are larger ($3.97 \times 10^{-7} > 1.99 \times 10^{-8} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$)

$$\text{Or } F_{5000\text{\AA}} / F_{10\text{\AA}} = N_{5000\text{\AA}} / N_{10\text{\AA}} \times 10\text{\AA} / 5000\text{\AA} = 10^5 / 10 \times 10 / 5000 = 20$$

2. It is often claimed that stellar magnitude errors can be taken as fractional errors of photometric accuracy. Although this is not quite correct but close to it. Prove it.

Solution:

$$F \sim 2.512^{-m} = 10^{-0.4m}$$

From Statistics: $\sigma_q = \left| \frac{dq}{dx} \right| \sigma_x \rightarrow$

$$\sigma_F = \left| \frac{dF}{dm} \right| \sigma_m = \left| \frac{2.512^{-m}}{dm} \right| \sigma_m = \ln 2.512 \cdot 2.512^{-m} \sigma_m = 0.921 F \sigma_m$$

or

$$\sigma_F = \left| \frac{10^{-0.4m}}{dm} \right| \sigma_m = 10^{-0.4m} \cdot \ln 10 \cdot 0.4 = 0.921 \cdot 10^{-0.4m} \sigma_m = 0.921 F \sigma_m$$

$$\frac{\sigma_F}{F} = 0.921 \sigma_m$$

3. A star has a measured *I*-band magnitude of 22.0. How many photons per second are detected from this star by the William Herschel Telescope on La Palma (4.2 m diameter), assuming that the telescope and imaging optics have a throughput of 60%, the detector has a quantum efficiency of 80%, the sky has a brightness of 20 magnitudes per square arcsec, and the seeing is 1 arcsec. Estimate the exposure time required to detect the star at a signal-to-noise ratio of 20.

Solution:

How many photons per second are detected from this star by the William Herschel Telescope:

From Lecture 10, slide 413:

$$N_{\text{star}} = \eta \epsilon_{\text{atm}} \epsilon_{\text{tel}} \epsilon_{\text{filt}} \epsilon_{\text{win}} \epsilon_{\text{geom}} \phi \Delta\lambda A t = \eta \epsilon \phi_{\text{star}} \Delta\lambda A t$$

$$\eta = 0.8$$

$$\epsilon = \epsilon_{\text{atm}} \epsilon_{\text{tel}} \epsilon_{\text{filt}} \epsilon_{\text{win}} = 0.6$$

$$\Delta\lambda = 1500 \text{ Å}$$

$$A = \pi D^2 / 4 = 138544 \text{ cm}^2$$

For simplicity, we can assume that $\epsilon_{\text{geom}} = 1.0$

However, the WHT telescope is of a Ritchey Chretien Cassegrain system, it has a secondary mirror with the diameter 1.0 m (e.g. https://www.ing.iac.es/PR/wht_info/whtoptics.html). Then from Lecture 10, slide 412, $\epsilon_{\text{geom}} = 0.94$

$$\phi_{\text{star}} = F/h\nu = F\lambda/hc = F_0\lambda/hc \times 2.512^{-m} = 7.18 \times 10^{-7} \text{ photons s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ \AA}^{-1}$$

$$\text{Thus, } N_{\text{star}} = 0.8 \times 0.6 \times 0.94 \times 7.18 \times 10^{-7} \times 1500 \times 138544$$

Answer:

from the star $N_{\text{star}} \sim 67 \text{ phot/sec}$ (~ 72 if $\epsilon_{\text{geom}} = 1.0$)

from the sky $N_{\text{sky}} \sim 425 \text{ phot/sec}$ from square arcsec (~ 452 if $\epsilon_{\text{geom}} = 1.0$)

Estimate the exposure time required to detect the star at a signal-to-noise ratio of 20.

From Lecture 9, slide 364:

$$S/N = \frac{N_* t}{\sqrt{N_* t + 2N_{\text{sky}} t}} \rightarrow \frac{N_* \sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{N_* + 2N_{\text{sky}}}} \rightarrow t = 81 \text{ sec} \text{ } (\sim 76 \text{ sec if } \epsilon_{\text{geom}} = 1.0)$$

4. Calculate the flux F_λ of a star (in $\text{erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ \AA}^{-1}$) having Vega magnitude $R=15$ and AB magnitude $r=15$ ($\lambda_c = 6156 \text{ \AA}$).

Answer:

$$\text{Vega: } F = F_0 \times 2.512^{-15} = 2.18 \times 10^{-15} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ \AA}^{-1}$$

$$F_0 = 2.177 \times 10^{-9} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ \AA}^{-1} \text{ (from Table in slide 400, Lecture 10)}$$

AB (method 1):

$$m = -2.5 \log F_\nu - 48.585; F_\nu [\text{ergs s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ Hz}^{-1}] = 10^{-8} \frac{\lambda [\text{\AA}]^2}{c [\text{cm s}^{-1}]} F_\lambda [\text{ergs s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ \AA}^{-1}] \text{ (slide 389, Lec 10)}$$

$$F_\nu = 3.68 \times 10^{-26} \text{ ergs s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ Hz}^{-1}$$

$$F_\lambda = 2.87 \times 10^{-15} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ \AA}^{-1}$$

AB (method 2):

In AB magnitudes, mag 0 has a flux of 3631 Jy (slide 389, Lecture 10)

$$\text{Then } F_\nu [\text{Jy}] = 3631 \times 2.512^{-15} = 3.63 \times 10^{-3} \text{ Jy}$$

$$\text{slide 399, Lecture 10: } F_\lambda [\text{erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ \AA}^{-1}] = 3.00 \times 10^{-5} \lambda^{-2} F_\nu [\text{Jy}] = 2.87 \times 10^{-15} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ \AA}^{-1}$$

5. What fraction of the photons in the V band of a bright star would be absorbed by the atmosphere if one were to observe the star at an airmass of 2.5, and at the zenith (airmass = 1)?

Assume that the atmospheric extinction $k(\lambda)$ in the V band is $0.15 \text{ mag airmass}^{-1}$.

Answer: 13% absorbed at the zenith and 29% at the airmass of 2.5

(Lecture 11, Slide 445): $m_{\text{obs}} - m_{\text{true}} = k(\lambda) X$

$$(1 - 2.512^{-0.15 \times 1}) \times 100\% \text{ and } (1 - 2.512^{-0.15 \times 2.5}) \times 100\%$$

6. In making differential observations, explain why you should know the colours of the variable and comparison stars.

Short answer (but you had to elaborate it!): **There is a colour term in the accurate formula**, caused by the variation in spectral profile of the stars and the filter response over the passband (Slides 449-450, Lecture 12).