

Astrophysics

Compulsory Home Exercises.

Solutions to Problem Set 5.

- In terms of the specific intensity I , which of the listed below is the correct expression for the amount of radiation flowing per unit time, per unit solid angle through a unit area at an angle to the normal?
 - $I \sin \theta$
 - $I \theta$
 - $I \cos \theta$ **[Correct answer]**
 - $I \cos \theta \sin \theta$
 - I
- Which 2 opacity sources do dominate in a stellar atmosphere ($T_{\text{eff}}=8064 \text{ K}$, $P_e=30 \text{ dyn/cm}^2$) at 5000 \AA and 18000 \AA ? Is the contribution of the second one is negligible enough to be not taken into account?

Solution:

From lecture 21 (e.g., slides 15 and 16) we can see that at 5000 \AA the two dominant comparable sources of opacity are H (bound-free) and the H^- ion (bound-free). At 18000 \AA the dominant source is H (bound-free), while the H^- ion (free-free) seems to be much weaker.

To confirm it, we should compare the corresponded absorption coefficients α_λ . For this, we have to multiply the cross-section σ by the number of atoms N in the corresponded state: $\alpha_\lambda = \sigma_\lambda N$.

H (bound-free):

From lecture 20, Kramers approximation for continuous cross-section for level n for Hydrogen is $\sigma_{bf}(\text{H}) = a_0 \frac{\lambda^3}{n^5} G_{bf} \text{ cm}^2$ per neutral H atom ($G_{bf} \approx 1$, $a_0=1.04 \times 10^{-26}$ for λ in angstroms).

5000 \AA corresponds to the Paschen continuum ($n=3$);

18000 \AA to the Pfund continuum ($n=5$).

Thus, $\sigma_{bf,5000}=5.3 \times 10^{-18}$ and $\sigma_{bf,18000}=1.9 \times 10^{-17}$ per neutral H atom in the state n that contribute at this wavelength.

We can use the Saha equation to derive the population of H in the neutral state (similarly to problem 8 from the Set 3). We find that $\sim 83\%$ of the total number of H atoms is in the neutral state.

How many of them are at the levels $n=3$ and 5 ? For this we need to use the Boltzmann formula:

$$\log N(H_{n=3})/N(H_{n=1}) = \log 2(3)^2/2(1)^2 - 5040/8064 \times 12.089 = -6.60$$

$$N_{\text{H}}(n=3)/N_{\text{H}}(n=1)=2.5 \times 10^{-7} \text{ or } N_{\text{H}}(n=3)/N_{\text{H}}(\text{total})=0.83 \times 2.5 \times 10^{-7} = 2.1 \times 10^{-7}$$

$$\log N(H_{n=5})/N(H_{n=1}) = \log 2(5)^2/2(1)^2 - 5040/8064 \times 13.056 = -6.76$$

$$N_{\text{H}}(n=5)/N_{\text{H}}(n=1)=1.7 \times 10^{-7} \text{ or } N_{\text{H}}(n=5)/N_{\text{H}}(\text{total})=0.83 \times 1.7 \times 10^{-7} = 1.4 \times 10^{-7}$$

H⁻ ion:

We didn't derive formulae for the cross-sections of H⁻ but we can estimate them from slide 32 of lecture 20.

Roughly, $\sigma_{bf,5000}(H^-) \approx 3 \times 10^{-17}$ and $\sigma_{ff,18000}(H^-) \approx 2 \times 10^{-26}$ per H⁻ ion.

Let's now use the Saha equation to derive the relative population of N(H⁻) – see an example in slide 30 of lecture 20:

$\log N(H^-)/N(H^0) = -7.94$, so only 1.1 out of 10^8 hydrogen atoms is in the form of H⁻.

$N(H^-)/N(H^0) = 1.1 \times 10^{-8}$

We can now compare the absorption coefficients:

5000 Å:

$$\frac{\alpha_{bf}(H)}{\alpha_{bf}(H^-)} = \frac{\sigma_{bf}(H) \times N_{bf}(H)}{\sigma_{bf}(H^-) \times N(H^-)} = \frac{5.3 \times 10^{-18} \times 2.1 \times 10^{-7}}{3 \times 10^{-17} \times 1.1 \times 10^{-8}} = 3.3$$

18000 Å:

$$\frac{\alpha_{bf}(H)}{\alpha_{ff}(H^-)} = \frac{\sigma_{bf}(H) \times N_{bf}(H)}{\sigma_{ff}(H^-) \times N(H^-)} = \frac{1.9 \times 10^{-17} \times 1.4 \times 10^{-7}}{2 \times 10^{-26} \times 1.1 \times 10^{-8}} = 1.2 \times 10^{10}$$

Thus, the calculations confirm that at 5000 Å the two dominant sources of opacity, bound-free H and bound-free H⁻ are comparable. At 18000 Å, bound-free H is the dominant source of opacity, the H⁻ ion can be neglected.

3. Calculate the ratio of the absorption coefficients due to bound-free absorption above and below the Balmer edge (Balmer jump) for a hydrogen atmosphere with $T_{\text{eff}} = 9520\text{K}$.

Solution:

We solved a similar problem in lecture 20 (slide 27), see also lecture 21.

We have to compare the value of the H absorption coefficient α (per atom) in the Balmer (n=2) to Paschen (n=3) continua at 3646 Å:

$$\frac{\alpha(\text{Balmer})}{\alpha(\text{Paschen})} = \frac{\sigma_{i2} N_2}{\sigma_{i3} N_3} = \frac{\sigma_{i2} g_2}{\sigma_{i3} g_3} e^{(1.89\text{eV}/kT)} = \frac{\sigma_{i2} g_2}{\sigma_{i3} g_3} 10^{(1.89 \times 5040 / 9520)}$$

Pay attention that in **contrast to the lectures**, we now have the longer wavelength in the denominator and shorter in the numerator.

$\sigma_n \propto n^{-5}$ and $g_n = 2n^2$ so

$$\frac{\alpha(\text{Balmer})}{\alpha(\text{Paschen})} = \frac{2^{-5} \times 8}{3^{-5} \times 18} \times 10.0 = 33.8$$

Thus, the Balmer jump is not as huge as the Lyman jump. Still, it is very strong.

4. Balmer hydrogen lines are not seen in the spectra of either O stars or K stars. Why not?

Answer: In O stars, the temperature is so high that all of the hydrogen is ionized; therefore, there are no neutral atoms to absorb radiation. In K stars, in contrast, the atmospheric temperature is too low for the hydrogen atoms to be in the n=2 state. Thus, no Balmer absorption lines are possible.

5. An F star has a temperature $T_{\text{eff}}=7000$ K. Microturbulence in the atmosphere has RMS velocity $\xi_t=3$ km/s. Determine the FWHM of an optically thin line of iron with wavelength 4000 \AA .

Answer: The line is broadened due to microturbulence in the atmosphere AND a thermal motion of particles. They both have the Gaussian line profiles. Convolution of two Gaussian profiles gives the Gaussian profile with quadratic summation of half-widths: $v_{\text{total}} = \sqrt{v_{\text{th}}^2 + \xi_{\text{turb}}^2}$.

$$v_{\text{th}} = \sqrt{\frac{2kT}{m}} = \sqrt{\frac{2 \times 1.38 \times 10^{-16} \times 7000}{56 \times 1.66 \times 10^{-24}}} \approx 1.4 \text{ km/s}$$

$$v_{\text{total}} = \sqrt{v_{\text{th}}^2 + \xi_{\text{turb}}^2} = 3.3 \text{ km/s}$$

$$\Delta\lambda_{1/2} = \frac{\lambda_0^2}{c} \Delta v_{1/2} = \frac{\lambda_0^2}{c} \times 1.67 \frac{v_0}{c} v_{\text{total}} = 1.67 \times \frac{\lambda_0}{c} v_{\text{total}} = 0.07 \text{ \AA}$$

6. Determine the FWHM of an optically thin line which is broadened due to both the quadratic Stark effect with the FWHM of $\Delta\lambda_{1/2}=3 \text{ \AA}$, and other pressure effects with the FWHM of $\Delta\lambda_{1/2}=0.5 \text{ \AA}$.

Answer: The lines broadened due to pressure effects have the Lorentzian profiles. Convolution of two Lorentzian profiles gives the Lorentzian profile with sum of half-widths. **FWHM=3.5 \AA**.